



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/873,087	06/01/2001	Ilya Feygin	301.0015	3734

27997 7590 05/09/2003

PRIEST & GOLDSTEIN PLLC
5015 SOUTHPARK DRIVE
SUITE 230
DURHAM, NC 27713-7736

EXAMINER

GORDON, BRIAN R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1743

DATE MAILED: 05/09/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application N .

09/873,087

Applicant(s)

FEYGIN, ILYA

Examiner

Brian R. Gordon

Art Unit

1743

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresponding address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 March 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 28-30 and 36-55 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 51-55 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 29 and 30 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 28 and 36-50 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 June 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 28-30 and 36-50 in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) are not given. This is not found persuasive because there is no indication of why the restriction is not proper.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 36-50 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims appear to be in a form in which process steps of how the device is used is being claimed. The claims should be amended to clearly recite the structural limitations of the elements of the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 28 and 36-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Spence et al., US 3,167,395.

Spence et al. disclose a tubular reactor system useful for carrying out polymerization reactions requiring agitation of the reactants with slow mass transfer of the reactants through the system. As seen in figure 1, the tubular reactor is in the form of repetitive U-shaped system.

Vigorous agitation of the reactant mass minimizes or prevents this local accumulation of polymeric particles on smooth surfaces, and it is one object of the invention to accomplish this agitation in a tubular reactor having smooth interior walls without baffles or obstructions, and in which throughput flow is progressive. This is done in the invention by providing a tubular reactor equipped with energy storage devices (A and B) for imparting to the liquid contents of the reactor, an oscillatory motion at the frequency for resonance of the system, said resonance frequency being a function of the liquid reactant mass, the pipe cross sectional area, and the mechanical compliance of the energy storage devices. The oscillatory motion is sustained by an oscillatory flow generator operating at the said resonant frequency and having sufficient power to maintain the system in a condition of resonant oscillation.

A further object of the invention, to maintain a continuous output, of product from the reactor by the imposition of a throughput flow on the oscillatory motion of the resonating system. One embodiment of the invention comprises a tubular reactor having energy storage devices, such as gas domes, respectively located at respective ends thereof, a pulse generating device, such as a piston or diaphragm pump connected in a parallel relationship between the reactor tube ends, and metering pumps for feeding reactants and removing products.

Art Unit: 1743

A gas delivery conduit G, leading from a source of gas supply, is adapted to deliver an inert gas (e.g., nitrogen) or air to the upper ends of the respective gas domes A and B. This gas delivery conduit G is provided with an intake valve 15 and control or shut-off valves 16 and 17 in the respective branches thereof leading to the respective gas domes A (reaction vessel) and B (flow interruption device). The gas dome A, is provided with a liquid level sight glass 81, including control valves 31 and 32, and an upper vent valve 33, and a lower drain valve 34. Similarly the vent valve 29 and lower drain valve 30. Each gas dome A and B is also provided with a pressure indicating gage 36.

In operation the potential energy storing gas domes A and B are supplied with their quotas of gas content. To this end, valves 3, 10, 11, 16, 17, 27, 28, 31 and 32 are opened, and valves 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15, 18, 19, 20, 21, 29, 30, 33, 34, and 35 are closed. Valve 15 is opened to supply gas at approximately 50 psig. to the gas domes A and B from a source of supply, whereupon said valve 15 is closed and valves 4 and 5 are opened. Valve 2 is now again opened to further introduce start-up liquid into the system until the gas domes A and B are each approximately half-filled therewith, as can be determined by inspection of the sight glasses S1 and S2, whereupon valve 2 is closed against further entrance of start-up liquid.

Although the reaction vessel is not positively claimed, the gas dome A, of Spencer may be considered an equivalent and the gas dome B the equivalent of the flow interruption device with a sealed chamber with an inlet and outlet. As seen in

• Art Unit: 1743

Figure 1, both the inlet and outlet of the gas dome B are connected to the U-shaped tubing and the tubing in connection with the gas dome A having an inlet and outlet.

The device allows for monitoring and adjusting the level of liquid in both domes, allows for agitation, and purging of the system.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 29-30 are allowed.
7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record does not teach nor fairly suggest the devices of claims 29-30: a chemical synthesis reaction tool, comprising a reaction vessel; a reaction vessel support disposed to hold the reaction vessel in a preferred orientation, an injection port, including a pressure seal, situated to provide access to said reaction vessel for the injection of liquids into said reaction vessel; an evacuation port, including a pressure seal, situated to provide access to said reaction vessel for the evacuation of fluids from said reaction vessel; injection and evacuation fittings formed to matingly engage said respective injection and evacuation ports and to thereby enable the delivery of fluids to the reaction vessel and the evacuation of fluids from said reaction vessel; a U-valve formed of flexible tubing and connected to regulate the flow of liquids from said evacuation through fitting; a flow-interruption device within the U-valve, the flow interruption device comprising: a sealed chamber; an inlet connected to a portion of the U-valve connected to the discharge port of the vessel, the inlet allowing entry of liquid into the chamber; and an outlet connected to a section of the U-valve adapted to

• Art Unit: 1743

allow discharge of the liquid, the outlet being separate from the inlet in order to interrupt flow of liquid entering the chamber from flow of liquid exiting the chamber.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Crawford et al. USP 4,798,221 discloses a diverter valve assembly.

Jameson et al. USP 6,083,399 discloses an apparatus and method for supercritical fluid extraction.

Godin USP 3,990,853 discloses a liquid transfer valve structure formed of a pair of stationary elements

Godin et al. USP 3,991,055 discloses a pneumatically operated liquid valve assembly capable of segmenting and transferring plural different volumes of liquid.

Cabrera USP 4,152,391 discloses a liquid transfer valve assembly capable of measuring and transferring plural different volumes from sample source.

Coassin USP 5,405,585 discloses a fluid delivery system utilizing a multiple port valve.

Sielaff et al., Twigge-Molecey, Baldwin et al., Lerch, Lang, Lobo, Holderich et al., Brannstrom, Sakagami, and Ikonen disclose fluid transfer devices.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian R. Gordon whose telephone number is (703) 305-0399. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, with 2nd and 4th F off.

• Art Unit: 1743

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

brg
May 6, 2003


Jill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700